



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/585,077 | 06/01/2000 | Marshall L. Summar | 1242/19/2 | 5719 |
| 25297 | 7590 | 11/04/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| JENKINS & WILSON, PA 3100 TOWER BLVD SUITE 1400 DURHAM, NC 27707 | | | JOHANNSEN, DIANA B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/585,077 | | Applicant(s) SUMMAR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Diana B. Johannsen | | Art Unit 1634 | |
| | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Diana Johannsen.

(2) Chris Perkins.

Date of Interview: 2 November 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
all issued claims

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: issued

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: NA (telephonic)


DIANA JOHANNSSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

11/2/04

NA

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 11/01/04, Applicants' representative contacted the examiner regarding the printing of the wrong set of claims in the instant application (which issued as Patent No. 6,743,823). The examiner confirmed that it appeared that the originally filed claim set had been printed in error (despite the fact that the claims had been amended by Applicant, and amended again by Examiner's amendment prior to allowance). The examiner indicated that she would discuss with her supervisor (Gary Jones) how the problem could be corrected and get back to applicant. On 11/02/04, the examiner contacted Applicants' representative and indicated that SPE Jones would be requesting issuance of a Certificate of Correction in order to correct the Office's error..